Dear Chairman Neal and Ranking Member Brady:

As your Committee prepares its agenda for the 116th Congress — which may include changes to the tax code and legislation to strengthen infrastructure financing — we write to express our support for a potent tool: tax-exempt municipal bonds. For more than a century, states and local governments have depended on this reliable and efficient means of financing critical infrastructure projects.

Nearly two-thirds of core infrastructure investments in the United States are financed with municipal bonds. In 2018 alone, more than $325 billion in municipal bonds were issued to finance the projects that touch the daily lives of every American citizen and business. They are the roads we drive on, schools for our children, affordable family housing, water systems that supply safe drinking water. They are our courthouses, hospitals and clinics to treat the sick, airports and ports that help move products domestically and overseas. In some cases, they are the utility plants that power our homes, businesses, and factories. These are the investments that spur job creation, help our economies grow, and strengthen our communities.

A combination of local control and local responsibility makes municipal bonds an effective tool and an expression of fiscal federalism. Voters throughout the country overwhelmingly support tax-exempt municipal bonds, which are either approved by locally-elected officials or directly through bond referenda. The federal tax exemption reduces the cost of issuing municipal bonds, making important community infrastructure cheaper for taxpayers and freeing up resources for other needs. Should this tax exemption be preserved, it is estimated that municipal bonds will finance another $3 trillion in new infrastructure investments by 2028.

Any proposal to eliminate or reduce the value of the tax exemption on municipal bonds will raise borrowing costs for our state and local governments, reduce infrastructure investment in the communities we represent, and stall or threaten job creation. For these reasons, we ask that your Committee carefully consider the impact of any changes to the tax status of municipal bonds.

Sincerely,

C.A. Dutch Ruppersberger
Member of Congress
Co-Chair, Municipal Finance Caucus

Steve Stivers
Member of Congress
Co-Chair, Municipal Finance Caucus
Mario Diaz-Balart
United States Representative

Michael R. Turner
United States Representative

Emanuel Cleaver, II
United States Representative

Henry Cuellar
United States Representative

Gwen Moore
United States Representative

Dave Loebsack
United States Representative

Ed Perlmutter
United States Representative

John A. Yarmuth
United States Representative

Gerald E. Connolly
United States Representative

Tim Ryan
United States Representative

G. K. Butterfield
United States Representative

Jim Costa
United States Representative

Daniel W. Lipinski
United States Representative

Doris O. Matsui
United States Representative

Jerry McNerney
United States Representative

Peter Welch
United States Representative

Robert E. Latta
United States Representative

James A. Himes
United States Representative
Angie Craig
United States Representative

Jared F. Golden
United States Representative

Lance Gooden
United States Representative

Dusty Johnson
United States Representative

Chris Pappas
United States Representative

Harley Rouda
United States Representative

W. Gregory Steube
United States Representative

Jeff Van Drew
United States Representative

Jennifer Wexton
United States Representative

Sharice L. Davids
United States Representative

Anthony Gonzalez
United States Representative

Deb Haaland
United States Representative

Ben McAdams
United States Representative

Denver Riggleman
United States Representative

Greg Stanton
United States Representative

Lori Trahan

Steve Watkins
United States Representative

Antonio Delgado
United States Representative
Joe Courtney
United States Representative